Capano, Michael

		=
	1	
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTR	RICT COURT	
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT	OF NEW YORK	
CARNEGIE INSTITUTION OF WASHINGTON and M7D CORPORATION,  Plaintiffs,	) ) )	
vs.	Case No.	
FENIX DIAMONDS LLC,	)1:20-cv-00200 JSR )	
Defendant.	) )	
 Videotaped deposition	- on of MICHAEL	
CAPANO, Ph.D., taken pursuant to	o notice via	
videoconference at 6121 Marigolo	d Court, Whitestown,	
Indiana, on Friday, October 23,	2020, at 9:01 a.m.,	
before Lorraine B. Marino, Regis	stered Diplomate	
Reporter, Certified Realtime Rep	porter and Notary	
Public.		
	-	
HIGHLY CON	FIDENTIAL	
		I

## Capano, Michael

		2
1	APPEARANCES: (via videoconference)	
2	MATTHEW J. MOFFA, ESQ. PERKINS COIE LLP	
3	700 13th Street, NW - Suite 800	
4	Washington, DC 20005 202-654-3316	
5	mmoffa@perkinscoie.com for Plaintiffs	
6	DAVID AIRAN, ESQ.	
7	LEYDIG, VOIT & MAYER, LTD. Two Prudential Plaza - Suite 4900	
8	180 North Stetson Avenue Chicago, IL 60601	
9	312-616-5600 dairan@leydig.com	
10	for Defendant Fenix Diamonds	
11	ALSO PRESENT: (via videoconference)	
12	ANIA BILINSKA,	
13	Videographer	
14 15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

## Capano, Michael

October 23, 2020

		6
1	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We	09:01:12
2	are now going on the video record. The time is	09:01:12
3	approximately 9:01 a.m. Today's date is Friday,	09:01:16
4	October 23, 2020. This begins the video	09:01:21
5	deposition of Dr. Michael Capano in the matter of	09:01:27
6	Carnegie Institution of Washington vs. Fenix	09:01:32
7	Diamonds, case No. 1:20-cv-00200-JSR. This	09:01:36
8	deposition is being held remotely via Zoom video	09:01:50
9	conference.	09:01:53
10	My name is Ania Bilinska. I am the	09:01:55
11	videographer representing Henderson Legal	09:01:58
12	Services.	09:01:59
13	Will counsel please state their	09:02:01
14	appearances and affiliations for the record.	09:02:02
15	MR. AIRAN: Good morning. My name	09:02:06
16	is David Airan, of the law firm Leydig, Voit &	09:02:07
17	Mayer, and I represent the defendant in this	09:02:09
18	action, Fenix Diamonds, LLC.	09:02:11
19	MR. MOFFA: This is Matthew Moffa,	09:02:15
20	with Perkins Coie, representing plaintiffs.	09:02:16
21	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The court	09:02:20
22	reporter is Lorraine Marino, representing	09:02:21
23	Henderson Legal Services, and will now swear in	09:02:24
24	the witness.	09:02:27
25		
	the witness.	09:02:27

## Capano, Michael

		7
1	MICHAEL CAPANO, having been first	09:02:32
2	duly sworn, was examined and testified as	09:02:33
3	follows:	09:02:33
4	BY MR. AIRAN:	09:02:44
5	Q. Good morning, Dr. Capano.	09:02:44
6	A. Good morning.	09:02:46
7	Q. Can you please state your business address	09:02:47
8	for the record?	09:02:49
9	A. My business address, it depends on if you	09:02:57
10	are talking about my Purdue address or my address	09:03:01
11	affiliated with this action.	09:03:05
12	Q. Why don't we do both. Let's start with	09:03:07
13	the Purdue address.	09:03:09
14	A. It is 1205 West State Street, West	09:03:11
15	Lafayette, Indiana, 47907.	09:03:17
16	Q. And you mentioned another address?	09:03:21
17	A. That would be the 6121 Marigold Court,	09:03:23
18	Whitestown, Indiana.	09:03:29
19	Q. Have you ever been deposed before?	09:03:32
20	A. Yes, I have.	09:03:35
21	Q. Approximately how many times?	09:03:36
22	A. This is the third.	09:03:39
23	Q. And you were deposed earlier this week in	09:03:44
24	a related action?	09:03:46
25	A. Yes, sir, I was.	09:03:47

## Capano, Michael

October 23, 2020

		77
1	MR. MOFFA: Objection; form.	11:04:08
2	Objection; asked and answered.	11:04:11
3	THE WITNESS: A monocrystal, which	11:04:14
4	is synonymous with single crystal, is larger in	11:04:16
5	size than the average crystallite size that you	11:04:24
6	see in Figure 7.	11:04:30
7	BY MR. AIRAN:	11:04:41
8	Q. I want to move ahead to page 36 of your	11:04:43
9	expert report. Let me know when you are there.	11:04:48
10	A. I am here.	11:04:58
11	Q. There is an image which is your Figure 10.	11:05:01
12	Do you see that?	11:05:03
13	A. Yes.	11:05:06
14	Q. And that shows the dark region indicating	11:05:08
15	polycrystalline growth along the edges; is that	11:05:12
16	correct?	11:05:14
17	A. That is correct.	11:05:16
18	Q. Do you agree that that dark region is	11:05:18
19	polycrystalline material?	11:05:20
20	A. I agree that that material around the edge	11:05:24
21	is polycrystalline material.	11:05:27
22	Q. Did you physically inspect it?	11:05:31
23	A. No.	11:05:37
24	Q. The scientific literature consistently	11:05:42
25	refers to that black material that forms on the	11:05:44

Capano, Michael

		78
1	edges as polycrystalline material; is that fair?	11:05:46
2	MR. MOFFA: Objection; form.	11:05:50
3	Objection; calls for speculation.	11:05:52
4	THE WITNESS: What type of material	11:05:56
5	are you referring to?	11:05:57
6	BY MR. AIRAN:	11:05:59
7	Q. That dark region as shown in your Figure	11:06:00
8	10.	11:06:03
9	A. The polycrystalline material would be many	11:06:07
10	different types or could be different types of	11:06:09
11	materials. It could be polycrystalline diamond.	11:06:11
12	It could be polycrystalline graphite. It could	11:06:13
13	be a combination thereof. So while it refers	11:06:16
14	to is referred to as polycrystalline material,	11:06:23
15	I don't know what the partitioning is between	11:06:29
16	polycrystalline diamond and polycrystalline	11:06:32
17	graphite or any other forms of carbon.	11:06:34
18	Q. In the CVD industry and scientific	11:06:40
19	literatures that type of black material that is	11:06:46
20	seen on the outside of growing diamond is	11:06:49
21	typically referred to as polycrystalline diamond	11:06:51
22	material. Is that fair?	11:06:54
23	MR. MOFFA: Objection; form.	11:06:55
24	Objection; foundation.	11:06:56
25	THE WITNESS: In some cases, yes.	11:06:58

## Capano, Michael

October 23, 2020

		79
1	BY MR. AIRAN:	11:07:02
2	Q. For example, the case that is shown in	11:07:02
3	your Figure 10?	11:07:03
4	MR. MOFFA: Same objections.	11:07:08
5	THE WITNESS: I would have to refer	11:07:13
6	back to the source.	11:07:13
7	BY MR. AIRAN:	11:07:17
8	Q. In your description of your Figure 10 it	11:07:18
9	says, "The dark region along the edge is a	11:07:19
10	polycrystalline diamond film at end faces." Do	11:07:22
11	you see that?	11:07:26
12	A. Yes.	11:07:27
13	MR. MOFFA: Objection sorry.	11:07:29
14	Just let me objection; mischaracterizes	11:07:31
15	evidence. Proceed. Just give me a second.	11:07:32
16	BY MR. AIRAN:	11:07:36
17	Q. That material, that dark black material is	11:07:43
18	considered to be polycrystalline diamond film?	11:07:47
19	A. That's what the caption reads, yes.	11:07:52
20	Q. And you accept that?	11:07:54
21	MR. MOFFA: Objection; form.	11:07:58
22	THE WITNESS: I do accept that.	11:08:00
23	BY MR. AIRAN:	11:08:09
24	Q. I am going to move ahead to paragraph 106	11:08:10
25	of your expert report. Let me know when you are	11:08:13

## Capano, Michael

		82
1	but it could be heat transfer. It can be the	11:11:46
2	nature of the surfaces prior to growth. It could	11:11:53
3	be the flow rates. It could have to do with	11:12:00
4	growth rates. It could have to do with	11:12:06
5	anisotropy in the growth rates associated with	11:12:10
6	surfaces on the sides of the diamond seed and the	11:12:14
7	growing diamond.	11:12:20
8	Q. Okay. Moving ahead in your expert report	11:12:26
9	to the next paragraph, there are several images.	11:12:29
10	I have them up on the screen as well. Let me	11:12:32
11	know when you are there.	11:12:35
12	A. I have them.	11:12:36
13	Q. Is the black material on page 39	11:12:40
14	considered polycrystalline material?	11:12:44
15	A. It could be the combination of	11:13:20
16	polycrystalline material and impurities that I	11:13:22
17	had cited in paragraph 106.	11:13:24
18	Q. Is that black material polycrystalline	11:13:31
19	diamond?	11:13:33
20	MR. MOFFA: Objection; asked and	11:13:35
21	answered.	11:13:36
22	THE WITNESS: I would have to	11:13:38
23	analyze it to see what the relative partitioning	11:13:40
24	is between polycrystalline diamond and any other	11:13:45
25	form of carbon.	11:13:49

Capano, Michael

October 23, 2020

		83
1	BY MR. AIRAN:	11:13:51
2	Q. Would a person of ordinary skill in the	11:13:52
3	art consider that material to be polycrystalline	11:13:54
4	diamond?	11:13:55
5	A. Some would include that to be	11:13:59
6	polycrystalline diamond. Others would include it	11:14:03
7	to be non-diamond carbon. So there is a range	11:14:05
8	of there is a range of understanding of what	11:14:13
9	that material is.	11:14:15
10	Q. Let's move to the next page, in paragraph	11:14:20
11	107. And please let me know when you are there.	11:14:22
12	A. I am here.	11:14:33
13	Q. You selected that image for inclusion in	11:14:35
14	your expert report?	11:14:38
15	A. Yes.	11:14:41
16	Q. Why did you do that?	11:14:43
17	A. It shows a block of CVD diamonds.	11:14:46
18	Q. And does it show polycrystalline material	11:14:51
19	on that block?	11:14:53
20	A. There is polycrystalline material on that	11:14:57
21	block that is in part growing around each diamond	11:15:01
22	and that can fuse that together to form the	11:15:11
23	block.	11:15:18
24	Q. Is that polycrystalline diamond material?	11:15:18
25	A. It may be. As I stated a few minutes ago,	11:15:20

Capano, Michael

		94
1	second limitation of claims 1 and 12 below." So	11:31:06
2	I am not taking the Court's claim construction of	11:31:14
3	these terms in isolation, but they have relevance	11:31:16
4	in how they fit back into claim 1 and claim 12.	11:31:21
5	Q. Do you interpret "growth surface" to	11:31:27
6	include the non-diamond or polycrystalline	11:31:28
7	diamond that grows at the periphery of the	11:31:31
8	single-crystal diamond?	11:31:34
9	MR. MOFFA: Objection; asked and	11:31:37
10	answered. Objection; form.	11:31:38
11	THE WITNESS: Let me turn back to	11:31:50
12	let me turn back to 59. You can keep that up on	11:31:51
13	the screen. But the growth surface as defined by	11:31:54
14	the Court and as applied in my report has its	11:32:08
15	plain and ordinary meaning that is the surface	11:32:13
16	upon which diamond growth is occurring. The	11:32:18
17	non-diamond carbon is not included in the growth	11:32:23
18	surface.	11:32:28
19	BY MR. AIRAN:	11:32:31
20	Q. Okay. That's what I am asking. So the	11:32:31
21	statement in paragraph 173 that growth surface	11:32:33
22	does not include the non-diamond or	11:32:38
23	polycrystalline diamond is your opinion in this	11:32:41
24	case?	11:32:45
25	A. It's not my opinion in this case. It is	11:32:48

Capano, Michael

		96
1	173 that the term "growth surface" does not	11:34:19
2	include non-diamond or polycrystalline diamond	11:34:23
3	that grows at the periphery of the single-crystal	11:34:26
4	diamond?	11:34:29
5	A. Is that the statement that you have	11:34:32
6	highlighted?	11:34:33
7	Q. Yes.	11:34:35
8	A. I stand by that.	11:34:45
9	Q. So polycrystalline diamond is not included	11:34:48
10	in the meaning of the term "growth surface," in	11:34:52
11	your opinion?	11:34:55
12	MR. MOFFA: David, asked and	11:34:56
13	answered. Haven't we asked this question a few	11:34:57
14	times now?	11:35:01
15	MR. AIRAN: You can answer.	11:35:03
16	THE WITNESS: My opinion is that I	11:35:04
17	have no evidence that polycrystalline diamond is	11:35:06
18	pure polycrystalline diamond free of non-diamond	11:35:10
19	carbon or polycrystalline graphite.	11:35:14
20	BY MR. AIRAN:	11:35:19
21	Q. But to be clear, you stand by the opinion	11:35:20
22	in paragraph 173 that the term "growth surface"	11:35:22
23	excludes non-diamond or polycrystalline diamond	11:35:29
24	that grows at the periphery of the single-crystal	11:35:32
25	diamond?	11:35:34

Capano, Michael

		108
1	A. I certainly stand by the first sentence in	11:54:25
2	269. And there are qualitative requirements for	11:54:28
3	the definition of "insubstantial" that I would	11:54:47
4	also not stand by, but not all.	11:54:50
5	Q. Okay. So I am trying to understand your	11:54:58
6	paragraph 269. Is it correct as written or it is	11:54:59
7	incorrect as written?	11:55:02
8	A. (Pause) I would change it to	11:55:37
9	"quantitative."	11:55:39
10	Q. So the determination of whether the claim	11:55:42
11	is met by a particular diamond is determined by	11:55:49
12	qualitative analysis; is that correct?	11:55:55
13	A. It is determined by procedures that one	11:55:59
14	would take post-growth.	11:56:04
15	Q. Okay. So let me break that down a bit.	11:56:09
16	It is possible to determine if a diamond has	11:56:15
17	insubstantial non-monocrystalline growth through	11:56:19
18	a qualitative analysis. Is that fair?	11:56:22
19	A. It's possible to determine what	11:56:29
20	insubstantial and non-monocrystalline growth is	11:56:31
21	in terms of what processing post-growth must	11:56:34
22	occur.	11:56:42
23	Q. And that would be done on a qualitative	11:56:44
24	basis as opposed to a quantitative basis?	11:56:47
25	A. Possibly, yes.	11:56:57

## Capano, Michael

		110
1	please.	11:58:42
2	BY MR. AIRAN:	11:58:43
3	Q. Sure. Right before paragraph 269 you	11:58:43
4	state what element 1(b) is. 266 actually. If	11:58:52
5	you look at the heading, Section 3, on page 122	11:58:58
6	of your expert report.	11:59:01
7	A. Yes.	11:59:03
8	Q. You refer to single-crystal diamond there.	11:59:04
9	Do you see that?	11:59:07
10	A. "Fenix infringes the 'growing	11:59:08
11	single-crystal diamond'"? Yes.	11:59:10
12	Q. Correct. So you list that element 1(b).	11:59:14
13	Do you see that?	11:59:18
14	A. Yes.	11:59:19
15	Q. And it is your opinion that element 1(b),	11:59:22
16	growing single-crystal diamond by microwave	11:59:27
17	plasma chemical vapor deposition on the growth	11:59:30
18	surface, is met by processes or is determined by	11:59:32
19	processes that happen after growth?	11:59:41
20	MR. MOFFA: Objection; form.	11:59:44
21	Objection; mischaracterizes testimony.	11:59:48
22	BY MR. AIRAN:	11:59:52
23	Q. Do you understand the question, sir?	11:59:53
24	A. I do understand the question. If a single	11:59:54
25	crystal is a size that meets the growth	12:00:01

Capano, Michael

		111
1	objective, then the surrounding material, no	12:00:08
2	matter how much there is, does not eliminate the	12:00:16
3	fact that you have grown a single crystal.	12:00:23
4	Q. So your opinion is that Nouveau's process	12:00:37
5	meets limitation 1(b) because the single crystal	12:00:42
6	can be cut out from the surrounding	12:00:48
7	polycrystalline and non-monocrystalline material?	12:00:52
8	MR. MOFFA: Objection; form.	12:00:59
9	THE WITNESS: Where do you read the	12:01:02
10	second half of your question?	12:01:03
11	BY MR. AIRAN:	12:01:06
12	Q. I am just asking you for your opinion.	12:01:06
13	A. Repeat the question, please.	12:01:10
14	Q. Sure. You understand that the Nouveau	12:01:12
15	process results in single-crystal material that	12:01:14
16	is surrounded by non-monocrystalline material;	12:01:20
17	correct?	12:01:24
18	MR. MOFFA: Objection; foundation.	12:01:26
19	THE WITNESS: Correct.	12:01:29
20	BY MR. AIRAN:	12:01:30
21	Q. And it is your opinion that limitation	12:01:30
22	1(b) is met because the non-monocrystalline	12:01:34
23	material can be cut away from the single-crystal	12:01:39
24	material; is that correct?	12:01:44
25	MR. MOFFA: Objection;	12:01:46

Capano, Michael

		115
1	BY MR. AIRAN:	12:05:53
2	Q. And when you are referring to the material	12:05:53
3	that is surrounding the single-crystalline	12:05:56
4	growth, would that be the black material that you	12:05:57
5	can see, for example, in View 8 of the Nouveau	12:05:59
6	affidavit?	12:06:04
7	A. Yes, however that is characterized, which	12:06:08
8	could be polycrystalline diamond, polycrystalline	12:06:12
9	graphite or some combination thereof, including	12:06:16
10	non-diamond carbon.	12:06:20
11	Q. So your view is that that material is not	12:06:22
12	substantial because it can be removed from the	12:06:26
13	material, the single-crystal material?	12:06:29
14	A. It is not substantial because it does not	12:06:33
15	affect the value of the material that is being	12:06:36
16	grown.	12:06:43
17	Q. And by "value," you mean the monetary	12:06:45
18	value?	12:06:48
19	A. Or the end application, yes.	12:06:49
20	Q. So so long as you are able to make a	12:06:56
21	single-crystal diamond that can be used for	12:07:00
22	gemstone, it doesn't matter how much	12:07:02
23	polycrystalline or other non-monocrystalline	12:07:05
24	material surrounds the single-crystal material?	12:07:09
25	MR. MOFFA: Objection; form.	12:07:12

Capano, Michael

		116
1	BY MR. AIRAN:	12:07:15
2	Q. Is that correct?	12:07:15
3	A. It is correct to the extent that you can	12:07:20
4	remove that material. It is accepted that there	12:07:23
5	is going to be some of the non-monocrystalline	12:07:26
6	material surrounding the single-crystalline	12:07:32
7	material, but only to the extent that that	12:07:34
8	eliminates or diminishes the value of the grown	12:07:39
9	monocrystalline material would the amount become	12:07:45
10	substantial.	12:07:49
11	Q. So if it eliminates or diminishes the	12:07:54
12	value of the grown monocrystalline material, it	12:07:57
13	would be considered substantial? And by "it," I	12:08:02
14	mean the non-monocrystalline material.	12:08:07
15	MR. MOFFA: Objection; form.	12:08:10
16	THE WITNESS: No, I am not going	12:08:11
17	that far. I would say that it becomes	12:08:12
18	substantial if the growth process would be deemed	12:08:16
19	as a failure, meaning you could not use the	12:08:23
20	single-crystalline material to either form	12:08:31
21	gemstone or to be functional in any other	12:08:33
22	application.	12:08:37
23	BY MR. AIRAN:	12:08:40
24	Q. Got it. So the test for substantiality in	12:08:41
25	insubstantial non-monocrystalline growth, in your	12:08:44

## Capano, Michael

October 23, 2020

		180
1	Court's construction.	02:39:16
2	BY MR. AIRAN:	02:39:19
3	Q. I understand that. I am asking you to	02:39:20
4	assume that the Court's construction includes	02:39:21
5	every place where hydrocarbon gases are accruing.	02:39:24
6	Do you understand that?	02:39:29
7	A. I understand that.	02:39:30
8	MR. MOFFA: Objection to form.	02:39:31
9	BY MR. AIRAN:	02:39:33
10	Q. So I am asking you to assume that	02:39:33
11	construction. Are you with me?	02:39:35
12	A. You are asking me to assume a construction	02:39:38
13	that is contrary to the Court's order.	02:39:43
14	Q. I understand that.	02:39:47
15	A. And for purposes of this deposition,	02:39:48
16	that's not what I am going to testify in court,	02:39:50
17	so, I mean, it is a hypothetical. I would rather	02:39:55
18	not be on the record as saying yes or no to	02:39:59
19	something that is outside the Court construction	02:40:03
20	order.	02:40:09
21	Q. So you are not willing to answer a	02:40:10
22	hypothetical question in which the meaning of the	02:40:14
23	term "growth surface" includes the entire surface	02:40:17
24	where hydrocarbon gases are accruing; is that	02:40:22
25	correct?	02:40:25

Capano, Michael

		181
1	A. No, I am not willing to include the entire	02:40:25
2	surface upon which hydrocarbon gases are	02:40:31
3	accruing. I think that's contrary to the Court's	02:40:34
4	construction.	02:40:37
5	The ultimate claim, claim 1 and	02:40:39
6	claim 12, refer to growing single-crystalline	02:40:44
7	material. And so fitting the court construction	02:40:47
8	order into those claims to me excludes the	02:40:51
9	non-diamond carbon and the material surrounding	02:41:01
10	the single-crystalline surface.	02:41:03
11	Q. I understand that, Doctor. I understand	02:41:07
12	that that's your opinion. What I am asking you	02:41:09
13	to do as an expert in this case is assume a	02:41:11
14	hypothetical. Do you understand that experts can	02:41:13
15	do that in litigation?	02:41:16
16	MR. MOFFA: Objection to form.	02:41:17
17	THE WITNESS: I understand that.	02:41:19
18	But you understand my reluctance to want to	02:41:20
19	answer that, because it is going to put me on	02:41:24
20	record as saying, well, if this were the case,	02:41:28
21	well, then Capano agrees with it, and I don't	02:41:32
22	agree with it.	02:41:37
23	I strongly believe that the	02:41:38
24	construction from the Court that I have applied	02:41:43
25	in coming up with my opinions is the proper	02:41:47

Capano, Michael

		182
1	construction in the application of the Court's	02:41:52
2	order. And so I am hesitant about speculating or	02:41:54
3	hypothesizing what may be the case under a	02:42:03
4	different construction.	02:42:05
5	BY MR. AIRAN:	02:42:07
6	Q. Sure. I understand that. So just so I am	02:42:08
7	clear, you are not willing to accept a	02:42:09
8	construction of growth surface that would include	02:42:11
9	the entire surface upon which hydrocarbon gases	02:42:16
10	are accruing; is that correct?	02:42:20
11	A. I would not accept that.	02:42:22
12	Can I amplify that?	02:42:42
13	Q. Sure.	02:42:44
14	A. The entire surface upon which hydrocarbons	02:42:47
15	are depositing would include in the stage, and so	02:42:49
16	I think that it is certainly not within the	02:42:55
17	spirit of the Court's construction order that one	02:42:58
18	would include the stage as part of the growth	02:43:02
19	surface.	02:43:07
20	Q. Okay. Thank you for that correction. I	02:43:13
21	want to shift gears for a moment before I run out	02:43:19
22	of time at the end of the day.	02:43:21
23	So just to back up for a minute on	02:43:52
24	your amplification, are you willing to exclude	02:43:55
25	the stage and still consider the growth surface	02:43:58

## Capano, Michael

		275
1	out of time. I thank you, Dr. Capano, for your	05:31:35
2	time today and this afternoon.	05:31:37
3	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	05:31:39
4	MR. MOFFA: I don't have any	05:31:43
5	redirect for Dr. Capano, but I would like 30 days	05:31:44
6	to read and sign.	05:31:47
7	MR. AIRAN: And so we can go off the	05:31:51
8	record.	05:31:52
9	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes	05:31:55
10	the videotaped deposition of Dr. Michael Capano	05:31:55
11	in the matter of Carnegie Institution of	05:31:59
12	Washington vs. Fenix Diamonds. We are now going	05:32:01
13	off the video record, and the time is 5:32 p.m.	05:32:06
14		05:32:09
15	(Deposition concluded at 5:32 p.m.)	05:32:10
16		05:32:12
17		05:32:12
18		05:32:12
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

276

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	
3	I, Lorraine B. Marino, Registered Diplomate Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter and Notary Public, do hereby certify that there came before
4	me on OCTOBER 23, 2020 the deponent herein, MICHAEL CAPANO, Ph.D., who was duly sworn by me
5	and thereafter examined by counsel for the respective parties; that the questions asked of
6	said deponent and the answers given were taken down by me in Stenotype notes and thereafter
7	transcribed by use of computer-aided transcription and computer printer under my
8	direction.
9	I further certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the testimony
10	given at said examination of said witness.
11	I further certify that the deposition was made available to the witness for reading and
12	signing.
13 14	I further certify that I am not counsel attorney, or relative of either party, or otherwise interested in the event of this suit.
15	otherwise interested in the event of this suit.
16	
17	Lorraine B. Marino, ROR, CRR
18	Lorraine B. Marino, RDR, CRR
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	Date: 10/25/20

# Capano, Michael

		277
1	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT	05:32:12
2		05:32:12
3	I,, do hereby	05:32:12
4	acknowledge that I have read and examined the	05:32:12
5	foregoing testimony, and the same is a true, correct	05:32:12
6	and complete transcription of the testimony given by	05:32:12
7	me, and any corrections appear on the attached Errata	05:32:12
8	Sheet signed by me.	05:32:12
9		05:32:12
10		05:32:12
11	(DATE) (SIGNATURE)	05:32:12
12		05:32:12
13	NOTARIZATION (If Required)	05:32:12
14		05:32:12
15	State of	05:32:12
16	County of	05:32:12
17		05:32:12
18	Subscribed and sworn to (or affirmed) before me on	
19	this, day of, 20, by	05:32:12
20	, proved to me on the	05:32:12
21	basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person who	05:32:12
22	appeared before me.	05:32:12
23		05:32:12
24	Signature:	
25	(Seal)	05:32:12